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Abstract—We present an improved finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method based on the moving computational domain technique for the calculation of lightning-generated fields over large-scale irregular terrain. In the improved method, a spatial window moving along with the wavefront at the speed of light is defined and, at each time step, the field components are updated only in that window. The time delay of the wavefront arrival caused by the propagation effect and the irregular terrain is considered when determining the width of the moving window. The efficiency and accuracy of the improved model are validated by comparing its results with those calculated by conventional FDTD, considering an example of lightning-radiated electromagnetic field propagation over complex mountainous terrain. The gain in the CPU time can be as high as a factor of 4 to 5, while the accuracy remains unchanged with respect to the conventional FDTD.
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I. INTRODUCTION

In recent years, interest in the fast evaluation of lightning fields over long distances has steadily increased, including studies on the propagation effects along irregular terrain and the interaction between lightning electromagnetic fields and the lower ionosphere (e.g., [1]–[3]). The finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) technique has become a common method used in these studies, since it is relatively easy to include a complex terrain, as well as an inhomogeneous soil and ionospheric parameters.

The FDTD computation burden and simulation time increase quickly with the increase of the simulation domain size. Several approaches to handle this problem have been proposed, including the use of a moving window FDTD (e.g., [4], [5]), the segmented long-path propagation technique [6], and the use of parallel computing (e.g., [7], [8]). All these approaches are efficient and can reduce the memory requirements associated with FDTD. However, their implementation is not always straightforward.

In order to improve the efficiency of FDTD for the calculation of the very low frequency (VLF) and low frequency (LF) wave propagation over thousands of kilometers in the earth-ionosphere waveguide, Bérenger proposed a moving computational domain technique (MCDT) [9], [10] that only updates the field components within a reduced domain at each time step. In this letter, we adapt the MCDT in the FDTD method for the calculation of lightning-generated groundwave propagation over irregular terrain. A minimum moving domain/window which can satisfy the computational requirement is defined, and its effectiveness for the propagation over irregular terrain is analyzed. The gain in computation time after adopting the MCDT is also estimated. Finally, the improved FDTD method with MCDT is applied to study the propagation effect of lightning-radiated fields along complex mountainous terrain around the Säntis Mountain, and the efficiency and accuracy of the model are verified.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE METHOD

A. Moving Computational Domain Technique

The two-dimensional FDTD (2-D FDTD) model in cylindrical coordinate systems has been widely used for evaluating
the lightning electromagnetic fields over irregular terrain. Fig. 1 shows the overall configuration of the FDTD model for lightning groundwave propagation in the low frequency band. The lightning channel is placed on the symmetry axis, and the upper and right boundaries are surrounded by a convolutional perfectly matched layer (CPML) absorbing boundary [11]. The bottom boundary is usually either a perfect electrical conductor flat ground or irregular terrain with finite conductivity \( \sigma \) and relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \). In addition, the conformal FDTD method [12] is usually used to improve the calculation accuracy of the field near the nonflat surface.

Here, we focus on the fields generated by the lightning discharge at distances of tens to several hundreds of kilometers. Since we are usually only interested in the field waveform within a time window of some tens of microseconds after the wave propagates to the observation point, we introduce a method based on the MCDT [9], [10] to improve the FDTD calculation efficiency.

According to the propagation properties of the electromagnetic wave, the electromagnetic energy within a considered time window distributes within a corresponding window in the spatial domain. As a result, only the field components in this domain/window need to be updated at every time step. The largest propagation velocity of the wavefront is the speed of light \( c \). Only the field components in this spatial window are updated at each time step. The soil is characterized by a finite conductivity \( \sigma \) and a relative permittivity \( \varepsilon_r \). \( d \) is the horizontal distance from the lightning channel to the observation point, shown by the red dot.

\[
r_R(t) = \min [ct, L] \tag{1}
\]

where \( t \) is the present time in FDTD and \( L \) is the width of the whole FDTD domain. In practical applications, considering the effectiveness of the absorbing boundary, it is suggested to expand the right border by several grid size units. Since we are only interested in the field waveform within \( t_w \), after the wave has reached the observation point, the left/trailing border of the window can be defined as

\[
r_L(t) = \max [0, c(t - t_w)] \tag{2}
\]

in which the time window \( t_w \) is defined by the users according to their specific requirements. It should be noted, however, that when the lightning electromagnetic wave propagates over a finitely-conducting terrain, or when the observation point is not located on the ground surface, the arrival time of the wavefront at the observation point will be delayed compared to \( d/c \) (\( d \) being the horizontal distance between the lightning channel base and the observation point). Therefore, the left border defined in (2) should be at least extended to

\[
r_L(t) = \max [0, c(t - t_w - t_{\text{delay}})] \tag{3}
\]

where \( t_{\text{delay}} \) is the arrival-time delay of the wavefront compared to \( d/c \), accounting for the height of the observation point, the propagation effect over the finitely-conducting ground, and the irregular terrain along the propagation path.

Fig. 2 illustrates the MCDT for the case of a lightning discharge striking a flat ground. When the present time \( t \) is smaller than the time window \( t_w \), the width of the moving window increases as the time increases [see Fig. 2(a)]. When \( t_w \leq t \leq L/c \) [see Fig. 2(c)], the width of the moving window is \( ct_w \) and the complete window moves at the speed of light \( c \). After the leading border of the window has reached the right boundary of the whole FDTD domain, the width of the moving-window begins to decrease [see Fig. 2(d)].

It is very important to make sure that all the electromagnetic energy which can be received at the observation points within the time window \( t_w \) is included in the considered spatial window. Fig. 3 gives an illustration of regions where the electromagnetic energy can propagate to a given observation point (A) within the considered time window \( t_w \). Assume the waveform arrives at the point A at \( t_{\text{arrival}} \). Note that the right border of the window at \( t_{\text{arrival}} \) may be ahead of the waveform because of the time delay.
caused by the irregular terrain (see the blue dashed rectangle in Fig. 3). Region 1 defines the largest upstream region where the forward-propagating wave can reach the observation point A within the time $t_w$. Region 2 defines the downstream region where the back-scattered wave can reach A within $t_w$. At time $t_{arrival} + t_w$, the forward-propagating wave from the left border of region 1 and the scattered wave from the right border of region 2 reach simultaneously the observation point A. Note that regions 1 and 2 are defined by assuming wave propagation at the speed of light ($c$); actually, since the propagation speed is slightly lower than $c$, the corresponding regions are slightly smaller than regions 1 and 2. However, this does not have an influence on the accuracy of our method. In addition, the multiple reflections that can be observed at A within $t_w$ can also be included in the spatial window. Therefore, all the electromagnetic energy that can be received at the observation point A within $t_w$ will be included in the proposed moving window. The accuracy of the method will be validated in the following section.

**B. Estimation of the Parameter $t_{delay}$**

In this section, we present a method to quickly estimate the parameter $t_{delay}$ for the case of lightning-generated electromagnetic pulse propagation over a long-range complex terrain, in which the propagation effect of the finite ground conductivity and the irregular terrain should be taken into account. The arrival time delay caused by the propagation effect can be approximately calculated using

$$t_{delay} = 0.0046d + 0.8073$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)$$

or

$$t_{delay} = 0.0033d + 0.1461$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)$$

where $d$ is the propagation distance in km, and $t_{delay}$ is the propagation delay in $\mu$s. Equations (4) and (5) are the linear fitting results for the typical first return stroke and subsequent return stroke, respectively (see the supporting document for details). In addition, the “terrain envelope method” [1] can be used to evaluate the additional arrival time delay introduced by the irregular terrain.

**C. Gain in Computation Time**

In the improved method based on MCDT, since only a portion of the field components needs to be updated at each time step, the computational efficiency will be improved compared to the conventional FDTD approach which requires an update of all the field components in the whole FDTD domain. The gain is directly (although not linearly) dependent on the width of the moving window ($L_w$) and the width of the whole FDTD domain ($L$). The smaller either of these parameters is, the shorter the computation time.

To illustrate the effectiveness of the new method, different spatial window sizes and simulation domain sizes were considered. The height of the FDTD simulation domain ($H$) was set to 10 km, the grid size and the time step were set, respectively, to $\Delta r = \Delta z = 10$ m and $\Delta t = 16.6$ ns. A 15-layer CPML absorbing boundary was adopted, and the corresponding thickness $\delta_{cpml}$ was set to 150 m. The observation point was located on the ground surface and the horizontal distance from the lightning channel to the observation point was $d = L - \delta_{cpml}$. The total number of iteration timesteps for each case is given by $n = (d/c + L_w/c)/\Delta t$. Fig. 4 shows the gain in the computation time as a function of $L$, which has been defined as

$$CPU Time Gain = \frac{CPU time for model without MCDT - CPU time for model with MCDT}{CPU time for model without MCDT}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)$$

It can be seen from Fig. 4 that the use of the proposed method based on the MCDT can greatly increase the FDTD efficiency in terms of computation time. The bigger the FDTD domain, the higher the achieved gain, and the gain can be as high as a factor of 4 to 5 when the width of the simulation space is larger than 150 km. More discussion on the computational efficiency can be found in the supporting document.

**III. APPLICATION**

In this section, we will apply the improved method to the study of the lightning electromagnetic wave propagation over a long, complex terrain around the Säntis Mountain in
Fig. 5. 2-D terrain profile along the electromagnetic wave propagation path. The red dots show the positions of observation points.

TABLE I
TOTAL CPU TIME FOR THE IMPROVED MODEL WITH MCDT AND THE MODEL WITHOUT USING MCDT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Total iteration timesteps</th>
<th>Total CPU time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FDTD method without using MCDT</td>
<td>49400</td>
<td>27.9 h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FDTD method using MCDT</td>
<td>49400</td>
<td>5.3 h</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Northeastern Switzerland. The accuracy of the proposed model will also be validated by comparing its results to those obtained using a conventional FDTD approach.

Fig. 5 shows the 2-D terrain profile (assuming axial symmetry) from the Säntis tower along a path directed southeast (the same path was also considered in [1]). The profile was obtained from the global digital elevation model version 2 (GDEM V2) data measured from the advanced spaceborne thermal emission and reflection radiometer.

The conductivity of the terrain (ground and mountain) was set to 0.001 S/m, and the relative permittivity was set to 10. The length of the lightning channel was set to $H_{ch} = 7.5 \text{ km}$, and the return stroke velocity was set to $v = 150 \text{ m/μs}$. A typical subsequent return stroke channel-base current was used [13], with a peak value of 12 kA and a maximum steepness of 40 kA/μs. The modified transmission line model with exponential decay [14], [15] assuming a current decay constant $\lambda = 2 \text{ km}$ was adopted [16]. The grid size was $\Delta r = \Delta z = 10 \text{ m}$, and the time step was $\Delta t = 16.6 \text{ ns}$. The simulation domain was 245 km long and 10 km high. The red dots in Fig. 5 show the positions of four observation points. The horizontal distances between the lightning channel and the considered observation points are 15, 80, 124 and 240 km, respectively.

The time window $t_w$ was set to 20 μs, and the corresponding width of the spatial window was set to 7 km, which was extended by 1 km considering the arrival-time delay of the wave when propagating in the mountainous area. Table I shows the total CPU time for the improved FDTD method with MCDT as well as that without using MCDT. It can be seen that, for the same total iteration timesteps, the total CPU time adopting the MCDT was reduced by a factor of 5, from 27.9 h down to 5.3 h, which is consistent with the predicted results from Fig. 4.

IV. SUMMARY

We presented an improved FDTD method based on the MCDT for the calculation of lightning-generated fields over large-scale irregular terrain. In the proposed method, a spatial window moving along with the wavefront at the speed of light is
defined, considering the wave arrival time delay introduced by the propagation effect and the irregular terrain. At each time step, the field components are updated only in that window.

The efficiency and accuracy of the improved method were validated by comparing its results with those calculated by conventional FDTD, considering an example of lightning-radiated electromagnetic fields propagating over complex mountainous terrain. We showed that the gain in the CPU time can be as high as a factor of 4 to 5, while the accuracy remains unchanged with respect to the conventional FDTD. The method can be straightforwardly extended to a 3-D domain.
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